

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/748,651		12/30/2003	Michael B. Palmer	6898P001	6898P001 6899	
8791	7590	05/18/2005	·	EXAM	INER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD				BARNEY, SETH E		
SEVENTH I		OCCEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030		3752		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			50
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,651	PALMER, MICHAEL B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seth Barney	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to the cause the application to become A.	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ion.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	March 2005.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Rotice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,651 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,161,779 to Oyler et al.

Regarding claim 1, Oyler discloses a chemical additive dispensing device for attachment to a sprinkling system having:

-a fluid diversion housing having an input port of a first section of an outlet pipe extending from a water source of the irrigation system and an output port for receipt of a second section of the outlet pipe that provides fluid to the rest of the irrigation system, the input port and the output port being in fluid communication such that the first and second sections of the outlet pipe are in fluid communication with one another. See Figure 4 and column 6 lines 20 to 40.

-a container (12) coupled to the fluid diversion housing, the container to store a chemical additive. See Figure 4 and column 5 lines 50 to 64.

-an in-flow channel (32) formed within the fluid diversion housing in fluid communication with the input port and the container for diverting fluid from the input port into the container. See Figure 4.

-an out-flow channel (34) formed within the fluid diversion housing in fluid communication with the output port and the container for diverting fluid from the container into the output port. See Figure 4.

-wherein, in operation, the container is filled with fluid from the in-flow channel such that the fluid mixes with the chemical additive, and once the container is filled with fluid, the mixture of fluid and chemical additive is diverted through the out-flow channel to the output port such that the fluid chemical additive mixture is distributed to the rest of the irrigation system. See column 6 line 41 to column 7 line 14.

Regarding claim 2, the diversion housing includes a top plate (54) and a bottom plate (14), the bottom plate having the in-flow channel and the out-flow channel formed therein, the top plate and the bottom plate being secured to one another. See Figure 4.

Regarding claim 4, the housing is made of polypropylene. See column 4 lines 19 and 20.

Regarding claim 6, the container is removeably coupled to the fluid diversion housing. See Figure 4 and column 6 lines 45 to 48.

Regarding claim 7, the chemical additive is a fertilizer.

Regarding claim 8, the fertilizer is in the form of granular particles. See column 4 line 16.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/748,651

Art Unit: 3752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Page 4

2. Claims 9, 10, 11, 13, 15-18, 20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,161,779 to Oyler et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,540,156 to Martin.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, and 16, Oyler discloses all of the limitation of the claim except for a station having a valve to control the flow of fluid from an inlet pipe; an outlet pipe to dispense the fluid dependant on the position of the valve, and a diverter knob. Martin discloses an irrigation system having valves (32), pipes, and a diverter (38). The apparatus of Oyler can be used with an irrigation system as taught by Oyler in column 7, lines 35 and 36. It would have been obvious to connect the fertilizer dispensing apparatus of Oyler with the system of Martin in order to distribute the fertilizer to desired locations and control the flow to those locations.

Regarding method claims 17, 18, 20, 22, and 23 the apparatus of Oyler as modified by Martin is capable of performing the method or steps recited in the claims.

3. Claims 3, 5, 12, 14,19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,161,779 to Oyler et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,540,156 to Martin.

Oyler as modified by Martin does not disclose that the housing, top, and bottom plates are formed by injection molding. It would have been obvious to one having

Application/Control Number: 10/748,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the parts of Oyler et al. by injection molding because it is an art recognized practice to form plastic parts through injection molding.

#### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed March 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regards to claims 1-9, Oyler directly teaches the use of the dispensing apparatus in combination with an irrigation system. "...the fertilizer in the mixing chamber 22 is dissolved in water and the resultant water/chemical mixture is thereafter advanced to an external sprinkler or the like via the outlet garden house 46." See column 7, lines 35 and 36. Since the water/chemical mixture is being sent to an external sprinkler, Oyler clearly teaches the use of the dispensing apparatus with an irrigation system. In regards to the argument by applicant that claim 1 of the instant application recites pipes and not hoses, each instance of the pipe recitation follows a "for" statement and is only functional language. Oyler meets the positive recitation of the input port and output port. Therefore the previous rejections of claims 1, 2, 4, and 6-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 3, 5, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) remain.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,651

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752 Page 6

sb

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700